1 3 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 8 Plaintiff, 9 CV-07-3104-FVS VS. 10 \$407,759.86 U.S. FUNDS FROM Final Order of Forfeiture 11 YAKIMA FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ACCOUNT #S: XXXXXX7360, 12 XXXXXX5952, AND/OR XXXXXX8319, 13 Defendant. 14 15 Plaintiff, United States of America, alleged in a Verified Complaint for 16 Forfeiture In Rem, filed herein on December 10, 2007, that the Defendant currency 17 is subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 5317(c)(2). 18 The above court has jurisdiction over this matter by virtue of 28 U.S.C. §§ 19 1345 and 1355. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1395. 20 The property to be forfeited is described as follows: 21 \$407,759.86 U.S. funds, seized on October 30, 2007, from Yakima Federal Savings and Loan Account #s: XXXXXX7360, 22 XXXXXX5952, and/or XXXXXX8319, held in the names of Gurmit S. Kaila and/or Big B Mini Mart. 23 On December 18, 2007, the Warrant of Arrest In Rem was returned executed 24 as evidenced by the U.S. Treasury Form TDF-90 filed herein on December 26, 25 2007. 26 27 28

Final Order of Forfeiture -1-P90504dm.sac.wpd

1	On December 27, 2007, Gurmit S. Kaila
2	care of his attorney, Richard A. Smith, with cop
3	Forfeiture In Rem, Notice of Complaint for For
4	Rem, as evidenced by the Certificate of Service
5	Court on December 27, 2007.
6	The Notice of Complaint was published
7	Tri-Cities Herald, a newspaper of general circu
8	Washington, as evidenced by the TDF-90 filed
9	The Notice of Complaint was republished on M
10	Daily Sun News, a newspaper of general circul
11	Washington, as evidenced by the TDF-90 filed
12	Notice was posted online at www.forfeiture.go
13	through June 7, 2009, as evidenced by the Noti
14	Court on July 9, 2009. At the latest, the time for
15	2009, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P., Rule G, Supp
16	Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions.
17	On January 23, 2008, Gurmit S. Kaila, fi
18	on March 27, 2008, Gurmit S. Kaila filed an Ar
19	To date, no other claims to the Defendan
20	On May 6, 2009, the United States and C
21	Stipulation for Order of Forfeiture, in which Cl
22	Defendant currency to the United States.
23	Therefore, all claims to the Defendant cu

On December 27, 2007, Gurmit S. Kaila was served via certified mail, in
care of his attorney, Richard A. Smith, with copies of the Verified Complaint for
Forfeiture In Rem, Notice of Complaint for Forfeiture, and Warrant of Arrest In
Rem, as evidenced by the Certificate of Service of Notice by Mail filed with the
Court on December 27, 2007.

The Notice of Complaint was published on January 1, 8, and 15, 2008, in the Fri-Cities Herald, a newspaper of general circulation in Benton County, Washington, as evidenced by the TDF-90 filed with the Court on May 6, 2009. The Notice of Complaint was republished on May 14, 21, and 28, 2009, in the Daily Sun News, a newspaper of general circulation in Yakima County, Washington, as evidenced by the TDF-90 filed with the Court on July 8, 2009. Notice was posted online at www.forfeiture.gov beginning on May 9, 2009, through June 7, 2009, as evidenced by the Notice of Publication filed with the Court on July 9, 2009. At the latest, the time for filing a claim expired on July 8, 2009, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P., Rule G, Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or

On January 23, 2008, Gurmit S. Kaila, filed a Claim to Seized Property, and on March 27, 2008, Gurmit S. Kaila filed an Answer and Demand for Jury Trial.

To date, no other claims to the Defendant currency have been filed.

On May 6, 2009, the United States and Claimant, Gurmit S. Kaila, filed a Stipulation for Order of Forfeiture, in which Claimant agreed to forfeit the Defendant currency to the United States.

Therefore, all claims to the Defendant currency have been addressed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Defendant currency described above, is hereby forfeited to the United States of America, and no right, title, or interest shall exist in any other person.

27

28

26

24

25

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the forfeited Defendant currency shall be disposed of in accordance with law by the United States. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court shall retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing this order. DATED this __13th day of July, 2009. s/ Fred Van Sickle Fred Van Sickle Senior United States District Judge Presented by: James A. McDevitt **United States Attorney** s/Shawn N. Anderson Shawn N. Anderson Assistant United States Attorney

Final Order of Forfeiture -3-

P90504dm.sac.wpd